



**Umatilla Army Depot Re-Use Authority
LRA Meeting: Port of Morrow
Meeting Minutes: September 24, 2009**

LRA Members

Terry Tallman: Vice Chair, Morrow Co. Judge
Bill Hansell: Chairman: Comm. Umatilla Co.
George Anderson: LRA Board, former Chair
Rod Skeen: CTUIR: LRA Contract Manager
Gary Neal: Port of Morrow
Kim Puzey: Port of Umatilla
Lisa Mittelsdorf: Port of Morrow
Armand Minthorn: CTUIR
Carl Scheeler: CTUIR: Environmental Spec
Carla McLane: Morrow Co. Planning Dir
Scott Fairley: State of Oregon: EDT
Col. Rock Chilton: US Army
John Turner: BMCC

Dana Mission Support Team

Brian Cole: Exec Director
Bill Dana: Contract Manager
Kim Swentik: Exec Admin
Bernard Fineberg: EE
Jay Cornish: Environmental
Kathy Murray: Property Specialist

Others

Phil Ferguson: Base Trans Coordinator
Connie Caplinger: Umatilla Co. Exec Admin
Kristin Orr: OEA
Rick Solander: OEA
Major Rees: Army ONG
Heidi VanNoy: UMCD: SAIC
Steve Meyer: UMCD Outreach
James Ray: Mayor, City of Irrigon
Jerry Breazeale: City of Irrigon

Two UEC Reps
Nate Rivera
David Katuta??? Not sure

Mike Gregg: CTUIR – Env.
Don Gillis: Army Environmental
Jeff Howland: USFWD
Larry Klimek: USFWD

1:00 pm Meeting Called to Order: Chairman Hansell

1:10 pm: Round table introductions

Attendees identified

1: 15 pm: Major General Rees, US Army Oregon National Guard Presentation

MG Reese provided hand-out of presentation while Col. Chilton attempted to get the projector operational. MG Rees began presentation with statements regarding the ORNG's commitment to their support of the LRA and its planning mission. The ORNG is very supportive of economic development to support the area economy but also are very concerned about the environmental and cultural issues.

The State's obligation to soldiers and airmen, "To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining the Militia and for governing such part of them as may be employed in the service of the United States, reserving to the states respectively, the appointment of the officers and the authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress." Article 1 Section 8 Powers of congress U.S. Constitution. This stated MG Rees went on to explain his role as Adjutant General and his obligation to the mission. It is his responsibility to provide each soldier and airmen the best training possible in order to prepare them to survive in a volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous combat environment. He ensures they have the skills to accomplish the mission assigned. The training need is real and immediate.



From the Oregon National Guard there are nearly 17,000 Air and Armory soldiers currently and scheduled to be deployed in overseas activities, over 4000 in 2009. The immediate Local Army Guard population from Morrow and Umatilla Counties are over 300 soldiers.

The ORNG uses the UMCD as a training post for Oregon ORNG enlisted. MG Rees spoke to the letter from General Chiarelli, Vice Chief of Staff to the US Army. (pg. 26 presentation materials) Gen. Chiarelli expressed his support of the continued presence of the ORNG at the UMCD as part of the LRAs redevelopment plan. He encourages the LRA to work closely with MG Rees and seriously consider the interests of the Army in Oregon. The UMCD is a valuable property for the well being of the local contingency, communities, State of Oregon and the Nation.

The Oregon Military Department has a significant economical impact to the vicinity. From 2009 to 2011 the return on General Fund Investment is estimated to be 23.4 to 1. The General Fund is listed as \$32.8M, Federal Funds at \$766.3M, and Other Funds at \$151.4M. The OMD Supplies and Services spent at the UMCD from 1991 – 2009: total over \$1.14M. Note: ORNG reduced the use of UCD 2001 – 2009 due to overseas deployments. From 1989 – 2009 the OMD's Capital Investment to the areas is nearly \$3M.

The OMD has significant interests in maintaining and promoting the environmental resources at the UMCD. The OMD can assist the LRA with its environmental goals through additional funding and personnel support. Multiple wildlife and habitat management programs are already in place. They can also assist with potable water and waste water analysis, fire protection and suppression, and equipment to support these functions.

The historical use of the UMCD through a license with the US Army Corps of Engineers includes authorized construction projects and maintenance of facilities at the ORNG's expense. Uses include: 25M Live-Fire Range, Field Maintenance Shop and Vehicle Compound, Tank Crew Proficiency Course, Billeting, Dining Facility, Helipad, and Simulations. Per the previously negotiated and recommended federal-to-federal transfer agreement dated March 21, 2000, the original proposed property transfers included: @1760 ac for ammunition disposal area, K-block, @ 20 ac Area south of ADA, 3 ammunition bunkers, Bldgs. 36, 52, and 54 until replaced in K-block, Bldg. 115 until new maintenance facility is available.

The current proposed uses include:

Intermediate Training Complex designed to support individual and collective training. Required Facilities include: Company Supply and Administration, Open bay barracks, Dining facilities, ID Processing Center, Field Maintenance Shop, M1 Abrams Tank Simulation Conduct of Fire Trainer Facility, Range Operations building, Ammunition Holding Area, Small Arms Live-Fire Range Complex, Tank Crew Proficiency Course, Mobile Conduct of Fire Trainer Pad, Helipad, Fuel Storage and issue point, Supporting Infrastructure including Utilities and Roadways. The estimated support staff is 17 full time employees with a combined estimated salary of \$879, 259. The expectation of the ORNG is to train 400 – 500 individuals simultaneously at any given time at the complex.

The new training complex can be used by the public for community activities, similar to Camp Rilea (slide 27 of presentation). Approximately 30-40 thousand, persons from the local community use the site at Camp Rilea. Camp Rilea supports and assists such activities as Junior Fire Training, Youth Course challenges, classic auto shows, football and wrestling camps, and multiple other activities as are appropriate for the facility. The ORNG is a community based organization and will do its part to stimulate the local economy and be a positive reinforcement to the local communities.

Q&A: Carla McLane identified a proposed road in the new ORNG complex. State requirements would require the ORNG to work with the planning officials to create a transportation network with more ready access to Morrow County residents. MG Rees stated, "Yes, we would definitely work with the state and communities to work a transportation grid that would be agreeable to all concerned. Perhaps a road on



the east boundary?" Commissioner Hansell identified a huge difference in acreage from the previous proposal. MG Rees replied that the boundary is more compact now and moved scattered acreage into a more localized area. Commissioner Hansell questioned the appearance of nearly 4000 acres missing from the map. MG Rees, the ORNG felt the area previously suggested was scattered and difficult to secure. They moved the boundaries to make better sense. The missing acreage surrounds the facility. If the ORNG took over this area, it could potentially be used as wildlife preservation. Commissioner Hansell asked if this was required for the ORNG mission. MG Rees would need to go back to decision makers to determine the exact need from an acreage stand point. They may be able to block off the upper (NW) corner for their purposes. Commissioner Hansell: It looks like the OMD now wants over 1000 acres. Joe Taylor: It looks like there are easement areas. Perhaps the total amount is more around 1,720 acres. MG Rees: There are certain areas we will have to have secured as required by the Federal Government for firing ranges, bombing tactics, etc. Gary Neal: Would this eliminate your need for the bombing range area? MG Rees: The area is problematic due to airspace restrictions. Maneuvers must be done under restricted air space. Bill Dana: Airspace restrictions have been released to the most part over K-block and the facility. Carl Scheeler: Could the area be used for breeding wildlife with minimal disturbance? MG Rees: Potentially, other locations have been successful by rotating practice areas. Kim Puzey: Is there an expectation that the ORNG could lease or rent the property? Col. Chilton: It depends on the arrangements, but the Army would most likely require deed to the property. Gary Neal: What would be the ORNG's responsibility to clean-up? MG Rees: I believe this is one of the areas of the LRA to determine and present to the Army. Gary Neal: There would be some concern about potential flow down contaminants. MG Rees: The ORNG would be greatly responsible for making sure we meet any requirements. (missed speaker's identity) Removal of soil contaminants, minor explosives, etc would be brought up to residential standards. I believe the only area on the depot that has that potential is the washing area. Clean up has been going on for over 10 years. What is the life of the current license with the USACE? MG Rees: The expiration date is 2012. Armond Minthorn: So it is renewed every 5 years. Col. Chilton: I believe that is the Corp requirement. Armond Minthorn: So the current license is good through 2012. Commissioner Hansell: Is there a reason why the changes to the original proposal have been made? MG Rees: In a letter from 2000, we thought it would appear to be more beneficial to the counties to not have the ORNG in the midst of other areas. Additionally, the facility would be a plus for the Army. Carla McLane: With the facility you are proposing, would there be opportunity for the City law/fire to use the facility for training? MG Rees: Yes, we have had other mutually beneficial areas in other locations that have done so. Carla McLane: There is currently a fire district on the site; would the ORNG help to support that? MG Rees: Yes.

1:45 pm – Sage Steppe Presentation: CTUIR, Carl Scheeler and Mike Gregg expert on burrowing owls.

Carl Scheeler began presentation. We were able to get some great photos by air trip taken with Bill Dana (DMST) in early September. The Columbia Plateau shrub steppe is somewhat unique and has not been taken care of by most locals. Shrub Steppe areas function best in large contiguous tracts. The remaining tracts in many areas are too small to support the micro-climates within them. The most notable tracts in Oregon are at UMCD and the Boardman Bombing Range. The surrounding areas have all been consumed by agriculture. The agricultural development has come to the very edge of both areas. Agriculture brings in non-supportive micro-climate elements such as non-native grasses, weeds, and chemicals. In many areas the new species have changed the Sage Steppe micro climates and become dominant. The UMCD area is the best area with the largest contiguous tracts.

The INRMP describes the plans the CTUIR would like to see. Shrub Steppe and grassland habitats are threatened. The continuing growth around the area is diminishing the natural areas. Another concern is fires. Cheat grass is growing predominantly in many areas and fuels fires. Significant acreages have been destroyed. Rebuild takes significant intervention to plant, etc. we need to intensely support rebuild. It takes 10 – 15 years to rebuild naturally. Slide shows cover types at the depot.

Along with the Burrowing Owl, the local habitat maintains fowl such as:



Long-billed Curlew, Swainson/Ferruginous hawks, grasshopper sparrow, brewers sparrow, black throated sparrow, loggerhead shrikes +2 others.

The wildlife includes: Washington ground squirrel and is supported by Shrub-Steppe. Habitat connectivity is necessary and critical to existence. They can have a relatively small area. We would like to re-introduce/relocate species to the Depot area.

Mike Gregg, USFW based in McNary Wildlife Refuge: Burrowing owls on the depot are identified as a species of concern. One reason for the concern is the loss of over 15% in WA with the annual population declining ~1.5 % annually. In forty years the loss is expected at 45%.

Reasons for decline include: development; agriculture; fewer kangaroo rats (burrowing owls eat these). Burrowing owls depend on other animals diggings to create homes such as badger holes. We are unable to locate where they winter for sure. We have banded but often don't come back. They only come back to the burrow to breed. Emphasis: The UMCD is a critical breeding ground with 15-20 pairs. This is phenomenal and there are no other tracts that support that many owls in one place. The overall landscape shows the minimization of habitat. The Bombing Range did not have as many returning pairs as in the past. If the Depot goes away (natural habitat) it would be significant blow to the species.

Q&A: Commissioner Hansell: Do the owls eat the WA ground squirrels? Mike Gregg: No only kangaroo rats. [missed speaker] Are there WA ground squirrels on the Depot? Mike Gregg: Not that we are aware of. The WA ground squirrel is an endangered species. Commissioner Hansell: It would be a good point to protect the species that are already there. It makes more sense than reintroducing endangered species. Mike Gregg: Burrowing owls are a "special concern" not currently rated by the federal government. Where else are the owls located regionally? Mike Gregg: Moses Lake, Hanford – although none returned this year we are rapidly losing growth in the booming residential areas. Kim Puzey: We know you are not the only ones who are concerned. What could the economic advantage be of keeping the natural landscape? The burrows are functional around the igloos. Igloos are building micro-climates and steppe is growing around them. Adjacent to the area is an economic area that can be worked within the boundaries of the current habitat. Carla McLane: Is the picture from the north or south freeway at the top? Carl Scheeler shows a large habitat around bunkers. Looking at the grid work, we are not currently disrupting the area. Down from the facility is a large Shrub Steppe community. There is another at the Umatilla county junction of I-82 and I-84 along the highways.

Carla: is that picture from north or south freeway at the top. This is the micro climate that would damage the area. The Oregon Trail also runs through the prime area. If we plan to use this area for economic development there is going to be lots of hoops. The midsection rail line has some areas. The linear development and amount of use currently are not damaging. Shrub steppes are assets. If we don't take care of it now the federal government will place more restraints on us in the future. It also works for the treaty rights; it is a unique area and we need to keep some areas natural. National studies show bird and wildlife areas in the billions for employment, tax base – a direct economic benefit. This area is considered by bird watchers "the" area for burrowing owls. George Anderson: The figures you are showing have no credibility. We would need to research them. Kim Puzey: What does a bird trip look like? Mike Gregg: There are multiple types, land, waterfowl, more. A great asset would be interpretive centers, etc. Scott Fairley: How do you determine what kind of development can take place and still protect the environment. Carl Scheeler: There are studies that can be done, but if there are obstructions of view, animals tend to stay away from. Look at the species that are out there and try to manage around those. When I saw the current developments from the air, there are many areas that could be started with (development), before ever starting on the steppe. Commissioner Hansell: Bunkers could continue to be used without impacting? Mike Gregg: Yes, but it would depend on frequency of service. We aren't seeing owls in the igloo areas. Commissioner Hansell: based on MG Rees presentation, do you see potential conflict of what you would propose to the ORNG? There are several plus areas, fire protection, additional support from the feds. Commissioner Hansell: What about the tank areas? MG Rees: we could potentially work through that. Carl Scheeler: We could work through most areas. MG Rees: One thing we have done in the past is to rotate areas so no one area is depleted. Scott Fairley: Are there maps?



Carl Scheeler: We have maps that show the areas, but we need to sit down and go over them to identify where we could build economically. Where are the other areas in Oregon? Shrub steppe is found fairly widely. How about Morrow County? Carl Scheeler: Plateau area is at UMCD and Boardman Bombing range, these are the only significant areas. What about in WA? The Yakima Indian Nation reservation? In our eco-region it is the bitterbrush that is very rare that you don't see anywhere else. All shrub steppes are not the same.

2:30 pm – Privatization of the Electrical Grid on the Depot:

Phil Ferguson: For those who don't know, the Army owns the electrical grid on the Depot. The levels of maintenance are determined sufficient for the Army but it does not necessarily fit public codes. We have been looking at privatizing, but the BRAC will not allow it. Along those lines, the Army wants to excise the grid right now. The Army is willing to place meters on all functional building. We feel this would be a benefit to the LRA by the fees, etc from the city utility. The army is proposing to excise the utility system to the Umatilla Electric Co-op. We need the LRAs approval. If they are ok, we can move forward. Formerly we only needed to discuss with the potential public utilities. What has prompted the rush? The major prompt is the cost of maintaining. We were stopped from privatizing from the BRAC requirements. We are seeing our public works personnel leave, the knowledge of the system is leaving. The Army will not bring it up to residential code. The codes for the Army are not the same. Is the co-op interested? UEC: potentially, we would need to do an assessment. Carla McLane: There was line loss in this last series of fires. If you were to move forward, would you fix those lines? Phil Ferguson: We are repairing the line that was damaged, but we would look to excise as is. BRAC/Army HQ and UEC would work out the areas. UEC will not say we are not going to just take it, but we need to discuss with individuals. The depot falls under our service areas. UEC will need to go out and look at the area, develop an assessment of the area. George Anderson: If Co-op looks to acquire it then it would have to be brought up to code before you take it over? UEC: We would not expect the members to pay for it. Negotiations would need to be made and a plan for financing. Gary Neal: The only thing up to standard is the facility. Commissioner Hansell: The system is not currently part of any agreement? Phil Ferguson: We haven't got that far. Kim Puzey: I understand you would be handing us a liability. Phil Ferguson: Essentially, yes. Commissioner Hansell: The role of the LRA is to determine early transfers/sale of property. Phil Ferguson: Yes, if we could wait until you are all ready with your planning we would hand you the utilities also. (Missed speaker identity) Wouldn't the utilities be a consideration for the NOI? That would throw of the whole bid? Commissioner Hansell: The agencies/groups supplying NOI bids should know what they are bidding on. Phil Ferguson: We took them on tour and explained some questions. They also have the opportunity to have individual tours for questions that were not answered on the tour. It would be beneficial for the LRA to allow transfer now so the UEC is in place. Liability would draw down and potentially interested parties would know the plans are in place. Bill Dana: Many areas are below code requirements. Many drawings are missing. Currently, it is a safety hazard not to know where the lines are. Commissioner Hansell: So your recommendation is to transfer now? Bill Dana: I'm not in a position to recommend, but at first assessment that would be my thoughts. Scott Fairley: What is the downside? Phil Ferguson: The downside is if you wait, you will find out too late in the planning process where liabilities will fall. The upside is we can let them begin to analyze the area now. Lisa Mittelsdorf: If we surplus it, can anyone provide service other than UEC? UEC: no. (Missed speaker identity) Can't we have the UEC look at it first? Col. Chilton: Can we work in phasing? Carl Scheeler: are you under pressure to get a decision from us today? Commissioner Hansell: Bill [Dana] when could you give us a recommendation? Bill Dana: Next month: Table item UEC: We could work with it. Commissioner Hansell: A meeting with Steve Eldridge [UEC] would be interested in these discussions. From the prospective of the ORNG would you want to provide the service? Col. Chilton: We would be purchasing power from whoever the provider is. The substations are currently located one in Umatilla and one in Morrow County. They are inside the fence. Carla McLane: Would you want to eventually own the land the substation sits on? UEC: yes. Terry Tallman: If the LRA charged the Army would they be required to supply? If the LRA says the buildings need to have power before we take them? Phil Ferguson: The Army will turn it over as is.

Consensus: table to next meeting.



3:00 pm – LRA Representatives Boston Report:

Carla McLane began: It was 4 fast days in Boston. The Association of Communities National Conference was a huge affair. I did not know what I was truly attending so it took me some time to identify particular opportunities. Carla McLane, Kristen Orr, Phil Ferguson: Good contacts, good resources, no one session was a highlight. Activities are listed on ADC website. One presentation on an LRA from a Kansas base was very interesting. Carla McLane requested their newsletter and sent it to Brian Cole and Kim Swentik. Kristen Orr: The conferences for the BRAC communities were more geared to implementation instead of planning. Overall the themes relating to our mission was the relationships. Interaction and networking facilitate the types of relationships that will need to be created by this LRA, regardless of whether it dealt with appraisals, insurance, etc. Phil Ferguson: I agree with Kristen and Carla, the use of the newsletter for public outreach is very effective. Most of the people in our area do not know what is happening with the depot. Outreach is extensive in the example from Kansas. This LRA should really consider similar actions. Commissioner Hansell: Identifying what is applicable for classes was the biggest problem. We covered many items. Most of them had blocks of good items: the most helpful for me was "show me the money" it talked to how you went through the process. Networking was very implemental. Met up with Loni from Kansas, knew from way back. The amount of resources the Kansas area was able to gain was very impressive. We received an invitation to take a side trip. We are members of the ADC.

George Anderson: The "show me the money" thing...what is the way the wind is blowing now? Carla McLane: The PBC has never gone away, but it is not considered very limited. It appears the DoD would prefer to get fair market value. Commissioner Hansell: Legislation gave Army more weight on Fair Market Value. Legislation is now looking at balancing that. George Anderson: The ORNG wants a good chunk of the land. How does that work? Phil Ferguson: The Army will convey the property in accordance of the plan the LRA suggests for the most part. Minor areas may need to be negotiated. Kristen Orr: It is my understanding; that the phase for the ORNG has in effect passed and is now considered PBC and would very likely need to pay some part of the FMV. Connie Caplinger: If the Guard is interested in having this particular property. Must they submit an NOI by Nov 23? Kristen Orr: It would make sense, but they may not be considered a conveyance. Carl Scheeler: What about the CTUIR? Would they have to submit an NOI? Kristen Orr: If they would like to be considered for a conveyance. Carl Scheeler: If we put in for all the steppe how is that determine? Kristen Orr: That is the LRAs job to figure it out? For public benefit agencies, if you want to try to qualify as a conveyance. Commissioner Hansell: What if Umatilla County wanted part or parcel? Kristen Orr: Again, if you want to be considered as a conveyance, then yes, you should submit an NOI. Commissioner Hansell: Can the Dana Team help write the NOIs? Kristen Orr: It is not currently in their scope of work. The LRA would need to consider expanding. Col. Chilton: What is necessary to submit an NOI? Kim Swentik: All items are on the website and if you have more questions or need further guidance, call me. Gary Neal: I need to understand procedurally, the PBCs submit an NOI to the LRA, the LRA reviews and accepts/denies/or negotiates with the PBC and places accepted NOIs in the final plan? Kristen Orr: Correct. There are different conveyances to consider. Kim Puzey: Could we put the DMST into the contract to help the ports, etc.? Kristen Orr: The amount of time it would take to amend the contract would make the effort nil. The NOIs are due late November and chances of getting the contract amended in enough time would most likely not happen.

Commissioner Hansell: Back to conferences. Commissioner Hansell: I have been invited to meet the Ft. Ord coordinator. I can combine this trip with another and there would be no charge to the LRA for travel. The OEA is holding another conference in Orlando. The invitation states 4 individuals can be paid for by the OEA. Kristen Orr: This conference was built into your grant. Up to 3 people can be covered through our grant + 1 additional person will be covered. The OEA conference is a good conference to find out lessons learned and draw information from. It is scheduled in Florida from Nov 2 – 5.

The Ft. Ord LRA is an excellent example of a successful LRA, they have done everything and trial a LRA can go through. Association of Defense Communities (ADC) Nov 3. Carla McLane: At one point you had suggested the Ft Ord representative come here, is that still a viable option? Kristen: He is willing. Carla McLane: Would it have to be a one or the other? Commissioner Hansell: I would suggest you allow me to



meet while I am on that trip anyway. We can determine from there if we so choose. Gary Neal: It makes sense to have Bill meet with him since he will be there anyway. Commissioner Hansell: Are their volunteers for Orlando? I would like Connie Caplinger to attend for Umatilla. Terry Tallman: Morrow County would suggest Carla McLane. Commissioner Hansell: So we would need one from the Tribes and I would suggest one from the Dana Team? Kim Puzey: Is it use it or lose it funding? Kristen Orr: No, it can be saved for other travel items, but I would highly suggest this attendance. [DMST would like Brian Cole to attend]

Outstanding: CTUIR representative for Orlando.

Kristen Orr: While we have everyone gathered. I would like to announce I will be turning over my duties to Rick Solander. I will be moving in mid-November. Group: welcome to Rick.

3:30 pm – DMST update to LRA:

Brian Cole starts section with mention of HSP/PBC Workshop and Tour. Kim Swentik: Handouts to the LRA for overview of workshop. Overview includes attendance and contacts. Phil Ferguson: The major concern seemed to be the 60-day NOI deadline. Attendees felt they had little time to prepare a proper bid. The DMST and I worked through conversations and will follow up to contacts that this phase is primarily to let you [LRA] know there is interest. The NOI does not need to be a complete and detailed plan.

Bill Dana: Overview of site engineering walk-down. Major question: From an infrastructure standpoint everything goes together. Who will have the responsibility?

Kim Swentik: Handout Desk Copy of Values Mapping Report to the LRA

Updates for next month: Public and Private Outreach Workshop scheduled for Oct. 20.

Brian Cole: If time allows, we may want to add Bill's presentation to next month's LRA meeting. The LRA: agenda is currently scheduled for 9:00 – 2:00. Building Communities activity will take up the bulk of the time. For the BC activity we will need some Subject Matter Expertise? Do we know enough about the depot and surrounding areas on scale from 0-4?

Areas of potential need:

Agricultural commodities:

Don Horneck

Bruce Sorty

Mining:

Mike Ladd

Post-secondary educational:

John Turner to think about it

Healthcare

Dennis Burke – Good Sheppard

Avail of Energy resources

Tom Stoops – ODOT

Availability of Capital:

Rex Baker

Gov finance program:

TBD

Utility

Steve Eldridge

Downtown development

City of Boardman: TBD

City of Hermiston: Clint Spencer

Address Tabled items from last meeting:

EI/EAS discussion: will be presented at October meeting

Personal Property Discussion: will be tabled until November meeting



From this meeting:

UEC final discussion: 15 minutes in October meeting

George Anderson: Move to change LRA meetings to morning or afternoon. I can't make mid, all-day meetings.

Commissioner Hansell: Motion to LRA to move meetings to afternoons. Motion carried

October Meeting moved immediately from 9:00 am to 1:00 pm start time.

4:00 pm – Roundtable discussions:

Hot topic request by Phil Ferguson: The Army has a number of M3 Tap Suits they would like to get rid of. They are military items so not of use to the general public. There is another base that can use them, but we can agree until LRA says it's ok. LRA moves to allow – motion carried.

Commissioner Hansell: I'm trying to see down the road a ways. Chairs were previously given the authority to allow the Army to get rid of these types of items. George Anderson: Move to allow Chairman Hansell the authority to give Army permission unless he sees otherwise. Carla McLane: What is the threshold? George Anderson: When we did this before it was small ticket and military items of no great importance to the public. Carl Scheeler: How often can we expect this to happen? Phil Ferguson: We are just beginning to receive some requests for military items. Carl Scheeler: Can we limit the authority to military items only? George Anderson: I don't think we need to. Phil Ferguson: Our normal way of disposing these items is blocked by our BRAC listing. George Anderson: Rest assured, when we did this before, it really is in the best interest of the LRA. Commissioner Hansell: Phil won't bring any items to me unless it is truly a need. All in favor – motion carries.

4:30 pm: Meeting Adjourned

Respectfully submitted to the UMADRA and other interested parties,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Kim Swentik'.

Kim Swentik
Executive Administrator
Dana Mission Support Team